

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. (2023) www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/050,292	03/30/1998	HYANG YUL KIM	317-127	6647
20413	7590 11 21 2002			
FRANCIS J MAGUIRE BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING FIVE 755 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER	
			DUDEK, JAMES ANDRE	
P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/050,292	KIM ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James A. Dudek	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the tore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- 					
2a) ☐	,	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			ts is			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-44</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication.					
·/ _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-44</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	ion Papers	•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
_a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language produced Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has bee	en received.	adiony.			
ر نے رقا Attachmen	-	io phonty under 55 0.5.0. §	3 120 and/01 121.				
1)	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ommary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/050,292

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the Nagata Japanese patent (*753).

Per claim 1-2, 7, 9, 12, '753 figures 2a and 2b teach a method of producing two domains within a liquid crystal layer (12) by forming said liquid crystal layer with molecules thereof aligned vertically with respect to a substrate (see page two of the translation) where the substrate has two electrodes separated from each other by a selected distance (8,9), and then applying an electric field between the two electrodes (see figure 2b). The domain boundary is inherent since as taught in the specification the forces from left side liquid crystal domain will counter the forces from the right side liquid crystal domain creating a line in the middle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/050,292

Art Unit: 2871

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 8, 10-11, 13-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '753.

'753 lacks an explicit teaching of an alignment layer. However, it was notoriously well known to align liquid crystal molecules with alignment layer in order to reduce display blemishes by substantially aligning all the molecules at the substrate interface alike. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known alignment layer with '753 to reduce blemishes.

Per claim 10, it was notoriously well known to combine a negative compensator plate with liquid crystal cell in order to improve contrast, especially with homeotropically aligned liquid crystal molecule. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known compensators with '753 to improve the contrast.

753 lacks a transparent metal electrode. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine a well known ITO electrode with 753 to improve transparency and aperture ratio of the cell.

'753 lacks an explicit teaching that the polarizers are crossed on aligned at 45 degree with respect to the electric field direction. However, both of these schemes were well known to improve contrast. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well alignment scheme of aligning crossed polarizers at 45 degrees with respect to the field of 753 to increase contrast.

'753 lacks an active matrix substrate. However, it was well known to form pixels in a matrix with TFT to drive each pixel to improve resolution and produce images other than alpha numeric. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine the well known active matrix substrate with 753 to improve contrast and the display capabilities.

Application/Control Number: 09/050,292

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

James A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

October 18, 2002

examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.